

## MEASURING CURVATURE OVER WATER SURFACE

Terrestrial Laser Targeting (TLT) Method to Measure Curvature of Water Surface on Lake Balaton, Hungary and Lake ljssel, Netherlands.
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FECORE Inc. intends to achieve the greatest distance laser optical measurement over water surfaces in Hungary and The Netherlands connected to our series of refraction experiments.

EXPERIMENT GOALS

The main principles of the experiments are:

- To study the effects of terrestrial refraction in different ambient conditions at very small incidence angles close to the Non-Uniform Density Transition Zone (NUDTZ) above water surfaces.
- To determine the shape of the surface of large bodies of water.

Through our previous experiments, we've found inconsistencies with the curvature of the geoid model over water surfaces, and we hypothesize the theoretical calculation of curvature on the surface of Lake Balaton and Lake ljssel is nonexistent.

We are researching whether these lakes have a geopotential surface anomaly, or are all water surfaces non-uniform with the geoid surface.

The Terrestrial Laser Targeting (TLT) method was used in order to achieve long-distance curvature measurements over water surfaces. A Super Accurate Laser Aiming Device (SALAD) and a high-precision laser device with a collimation of 0.08 mRad were developed. Through the analysis of the error source models of curvature testing, the optical configuration of the testing devices was optimized. Several target distances in different ambient conditions in different locations were tested. Environment readings are referenced and calculated to reduce measurement errors caused by ambient conditions.

Through the above processes, the relative accuracy of the measurements meet the experiment design requirements. The TLT method used in the experiments has high accuracy and practical advantages.

The geoid is defined as a more smoothed representation of the Earth and is described as the surface that would be assumed by the undisturbed surface of the sea. Therefore, the water surface follows the geopotential surface, and by that we have the common understanding water surfaces follow the curvature of Earth. The topographic surface is measured with different surveying methods all based on the assumption of the WGS84 model.

The required accuracy depends on the needed deliverable output. Accuracy refers to how closely a measurement or observation compares to a true or established value, since measurements and observations are subject to errors. By analyzing the error-source models of curvature testing, the optical configuration design of the testing device was optimized.
The precision of the TLT measurement is expected to be within $1 \%$ of the volume compared to the target hidden height calculated on each measurement distance to arrive at a definitive result. The accuracy of the Terrestrial Laser Targeting method is affected by the angle of sight, distance from the object, and weather conditions. Considering those limitations, the research will evaluate and compare accuracy with the volume of expected target hidden height calculation.

The Terrestrial Laser Targeting (TLT) survey method can be used with sufficient accuracy on large distance curvature measurements. Compared with other curvature test methods, the method used in this paper has proper accuracy and practical advantages.

In this document, we are introducing TLT measurements up to 40 km ( 25 miles) conducted by our research team as late as the $23^{\text {rd }}$ of April, 2018.

The general objective of this research is to evaluate and compare the results of TLT measurements over surfaces of lakes with the calculation of the geoid curvature to determine the shape of the lake surface.

Our secondary objective is to study the effects of terrestrial refraction in different ambient conditions at very small incidence angles close to the Non-Uniform Density Transition Zone (NUDTZ) above the surface of the lakes.

The scope of this study is limited within evaluating and comparing the curvature of the surface on Lake Balaton and Lake ljssel and the effects of small incidence angle refraction. Determining and evaluating the accuracy of the measurements requires favorable weather conditions. During measurements taken on Lake Balaton, there were many limitations, especially adverse weather conditions (cold, humidity, snow, and wind). Due to the unstable weather, all goals set forth were not accomplished in the timeframe allowed, so our measurements were continued on Lake ljssel in favorable weather conditions.

## SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

This document can be used as a spring board for further studies for those who are interested in the research of water surface model measurements.

We will provide our measurements and guide you through:

- The experimental process
- Environmental conditions
- Curvature calculations
- Optical and geodesic correction factors
- The references and the terms used, as well as an explanation of results.

The initial aiming of the SALAD occurred during daytime to the direction of the target by visual observation with a precision of $\pm 1$ degree using a Nikon P900 camera and a Celestron Powerseeker 70EQ telescope.

## MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE LAKE BALATON

The laser beam was difficult to see from a side view as it was well collimated, therefore it had to be within a few degrees facing the observer to be detectable.

After sunset, the laser beam was adjusted parallel to the water surface using the horizon line and visible city lights on the opposite shore as a reference. An observation team was placed on the opposite shore spread along the coast line to locate the laser beam while maintaining communication with the laser operators in order to fine tune the beam's direction.

Based on our experience with aiming difficulties at Lake Balaton, Mike Cavanaugh made changes in the software of the SALAD and developed an automatic GPS targeting system. The new aiming precision of the SALAD is $\pm 0.01$ degrees. We used a

## MEASUREMENT

 PROCEDURE LAKE IJSSEL closer distance reference target with GPS coordinates to calibrate the laser heading, and the software was then capable of automatic laser targeting to any position based on GPS coordinates.The observation team placed on the opposite shore shared their GPS coordinates with the laser team, and the laser was automatically pointed to that location. The laser beam then was fine tuned by the teams through GSM communication.

Once the beam was directly on the target, we took the measurement readings. We used optical visualization with cameras and a measurement board.

## MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE



## LASER BEAM HEIGHT CALCULATION

We determined the lowest minimum altitude of the laser beam on the curved surface model at the target location using Autocad with 14 digits precision and compared the results with generally accepted curvature calculators.

We then calculated the corrections for the height above MSL (Mean Sea Level), the WGS84 ellipsoid model, and the difference in geoid undulation.

Laser light travels in a straight line through a homogeneous medium. Light angles due to different refraction indexes in a non-homogeneous atmosphere. We calculated the direction and amount of refraction to show how much laser-beam

## REFRACTION

 deviation affects the measurement outcome. In a non-homogeneous atmosphere where the index of refraction increases with height, rays of sufficiently small initial elevation angles are refracted upward. This curvature is proportional to the rate of increase of the bi-directional index of refraction with height.
## Lake Balaton, Hungary measurement 21st to 27th of February, 2018

Map of Lake Balaton showing the measuring position and laser position.




Geoid undulation is the term used to describe the distance of the geoid above (positive) or below (negative) the mathematical reference ellipsoid (WGS84).
Geoid undulation was at the same level in both positions.

EFFECT OF TIDES - LAKE BALATON
"Lake tides are known to have amplitudes of up to 10 cm ( 0.33 feet) in larger lakes (Trebitz, 2006). In case of Lake Balaton, the shallow depth and the relatively low water volume of the lake suggests this effect would be smaller. During long-term investigations of water movement conducted in the 1970's, no evidence of lake tides were observed (Muszkalay, 1973), therefore we do not expect tides to have influenced the lake level."

> The lake was partly frozen, therefore walking along the beam on the ice or using a boat was not possible. As no data on the ambient conditions along the laser beam was available, we measured the conditions at the measuring position and laser position. The data showed there were no significant differences in temperature and humidity between the start and end points of the laser beam.

# MEASUREMENT 1 <br> - LAKE BALATON 

On the 22nd of February at 22:44 PM, the blue laser pointer was at the opposite shore (Siofok) to help the targeting of the SALAD at the laser position. The pointer was held in hand at 1.5 meters ( 4.92 feet) above the lake surface level at Siofok. The team at the laser position was able to see the beam and record it from 12 km ( 7.46 miles) at 1.6 meters ( 5.25 feet) above the water level.

## MEASUREMENT 1 22nd February 2018 at 22:44 PM

Balatonvilagos observation position

## MEASUREMENT 1 22nd february 2018 at 22:44 PM

Siofok Laser position

The 12 km ( 7.46 miles) distance calculations based on a spherical model results in a target hidden height of the measurement position of 4.56 meters ( 14.96 feet)


The radius of Earth is 6366.776 km ( 3956.131 miles) at the $46.911702^{\circ}$ latitude (Siofok) on the WGS84 ellipsoid model, and 6366.75 km ( 3956.115 miles) at the $46.982097^{\circ}$ latitude (Balatonvilagos Target).
The measurement direction heading is $131.09^{\circ}$

$$
R=v\left[\left(r_{1}{ }^{2} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2}{ }^{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right] /\left[\left(r_{1} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right]
$$

The calculated difference of curvature drop on WGS84 to the spherical model from Siofok to Target: +0.046 mm (+0.0018 inches)
The height of Lake Balaton above Mean Sea Level is +105 meters ( +344.49 feet) that gives a calculated difference of $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 8 7} \mathbf{~ m m}$ ( +0.0074 inches) of curvature drop.

Siófok $46.90^{\circ} \mathrm{N} / 18.06^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$

## MEASUREMENT 1

ambient conditions
22nd February 2018 at 22:44 PM

## Balatonvilagos

Hum. 90\%
Temp. $+2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(35.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$
Wind $32 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ( 19.9 mph )
waves 50 cm high ( 1.64 feet)


## REFRACTION CALCULATION OF 1ST <br> MEASUREMENT

The lake temperature was $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(35.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ and the air at night was around $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(37.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ above the lake. The humidity is always higher the closer you are to the lake surface, which indicates a lower refractive index.
The ambient conditions showed that the refractive indexes were about the same at the two sides of the lake. The difference in temperature was marginal. The level of humidity decreases as you rise in altitude above lake surface.
We concluded the gradients above the lake surface did not cause any significant refraction of the laser beam.

Balatonvilagos (laser position):
Latitude $=46.9820972222222^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=46^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 55.55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ Longitude $=18.162325^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=18^{\circ} 9^{\prime} 44.37{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$

POSITIONS AND HEIGHT DATA AT LAKE BALATON

GPS ellipsoidal height $=149.85$ meters ( 491.6 feet)
Geoid height $=44.918$ meters ( 147.367 feet)
Siofok (measurement position):
Latitude $=46.9117027777778^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=46^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 42.13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ Longitude $=18.0444944444444^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=18^{\circ} 2^{\prime} 40.18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ GPS ellipsoidal height $=149.85$ meters ( 491.6 feet)
Geoid height $=45.047$ meters ( 147.790 feet)
Difference of geoid height: -129 mm (-5.08 inches)


Laser beam was recorded at 1.6 meters ( 2.79 feet)

Measurement 1
Target hidden height spherical model:
4.570 meters ( 14.993 feet)

WGS84 laser to target correction:
+0.029 mm (0.0011 inch)
MSL correction:
-0.119 mm (-0,0047 inch)
Refraction correction
+0 mm (+0 inch)
EXPECTED target hidden height
4.5699 meters (14.9929 feet)

Difference of geoid height:
129 mm (-5.08 inches)



## MEASUREMENT 2 LAKE BALATON

On the 26th of February at 20:00, the blue laser pointer was placed at Balatonvilagos on the SALAD at 2.2 meters ( 7.2 feet) above the lake surface. The source of the beam was seen on the opposite shore at 12 km ( 7.46 miles) distance (Siofok) at 1.6 meters ( 5.25 feet) above the lake surface.

## MEASUREMENT 2

26th of February 2018 at 20:00



## Siofok observation location

$+4+4+4$


The blue laser at 2.2 meters.
The green laser at 13 meters.

The radius of Earth is 6366.776 km ( 3956.131 miles) at the $46.911702^{\circ}$ latitude (Siofok) on the WGS84 ellipsoid model, and 6366.75 km ( 3956.115 miles) at the $46.982097^{\circ}$ latitude (laser).
The measurement direction heading is $228.91^{\circ}$

$$
R=V\left[\left(r_{1}{ }^{2} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2}{ }^{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right] /\left[\left(r_{1} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right]
$$

The calculated difference of curvature drop on WGS84 to the spherical model from Laser to Siofok is: - $0.046 \mathbf{~ m m}$ (-0.0018 inches)

The height of Lake Balaton above Mean Sea Level is +105 meters (+344.49 feet) that gives a calculated difference of $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 8 7 ~ m m ~ ( + 0 . 0 0 7 4 ~ i n c h e s ) ~ o f ~}$ curvature drop.

## Balatonvilagos

## MEASUREMENT 2

26th of February 2018 at 20:00

## Balatonvilagos

Hum. 58\%
Temp. $-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(21.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$
Wind $22 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ( 13.7 mph ) waves 1 m high ( 3.3 feet)


## Siofok

Hum. 60\%
Temp. $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$
Wind $28 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ( 17.4 mph ) waves 1 m high ( 3.3 feet)

## REFRACTION CALCULATION OF 2ND MEASUREMENT

The lake temperature was $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ and the air at night was down to a minimum of $-11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(12.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ above the lake. Air temperature at the time of the measurement was $-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(21.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.
The ambient conditions showed the refractive indexes were about the same at the two sides of the lake. The change in temperature was decreasing and humidity was increasing above the lake surface versus the air above - resulting in a slight upward refraction.

Angle of incidence ( $\theta 1$ ): $0.0029^{\circ}$
Refractive index calculation
(based on Modified Edlén Equation)
$\mathrm{n} 1=1.000302762\left(445 \mathrm{~nm},-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \%\right)$
$\mathrm{n} 2=1.000296015\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \%\right)$
Angle of refraction is calculated with Snell's law: $\sin \theta 2=(n 1 * \sin \theta 1) / n 2=0.00290002$ degrees Angle of deviation $=0.000000020^{\circ}$

We conclude the ambient conditions refracted the laser beam upward by a maximum of 0.235 mm (0.00924 inches)


## Measurement 2

Target hidden height spherical model:
3.52 meters ( 11.5484 feet)

WGS84 laser to target correction:
+0.026 mm (0.001 inches)
MSL correction is
-0.119 mm (-0.0047 inches)
Refraction correction (max)
+0.235 mm (+0.00924 inches)
EXPECTED target hidden height
3.52009 meters ( 11.5487 feet)

Difference of geoid height:
-129 mm (-5.08 inches)


Map of Lake ljssel between the four measurement positions and laser position




Geoid undulation is at the same level in all positions.

## EFFECT OF TIDES AT LAKE IJSSEL

Lake tides are known to have amplitudes of up to 10 cm (0.33 feet) in larger lakes (Trebitz, 2006). In case of Lake ljssel, the average shallow depth of 5.5 meters ( 18 feet) and the relatively low water volume of the lake suggests this effect would be even smaller and within our measurement error margin.

The lake temperature ranged from 7-14 Celsius (45F-57F).

As no data on the ambient conditions along the laser beam was available, we used data at the two end positions. The data showed there were no significant differences in temperature and humidity between the start and end points of the measurements.

$$
8
$$

## MEASUREMENT 3

On the 8th of April at 1:00 AM, the blue laser pointer was placed on the SALAD at 2.85 meters ( 9.35 feet) above the lake surface.

It was seen on the opposite shore at 21.26 km ( 13.21 miles) to Target 1 at 1.2 meters ( 3.94 feet) above the lake surface.

## MEASUREMENT 3

Lake Ijssel 8th April 2018 at 1:00 AM


## MEASUREMENT 3

Lake ljssel 8th April 2018 at 1:00 AM


Target 1 observation location

## MEASUREMENT 3

${ }^{*}$ Lake ljssel 8th April 2018 at 1:00 AM

2

0:00:13
(4)) $\ddagger$

Target 1 at 21.26 km observation location

## LASER BEAM HIDDEN HEIGHT CALCULATION MEASUREMENT TARGET 1

The 21.26 km (13.21 Miles) calculations based on a spherical model results in a target hidden height of the Measurement 3 Target 1 position of 18.18 meters (59.6 feet)


## CURVATURE CORRECTION WGS84 AND

The radius of Earth is 6364.598 km ( 3954.778 miles) at the $52.836208^{\circ}$ latitude (Target 1) on the WGS84 ellipsoid model, and 6364.643 km ( 3954.806 miles) at the $52.710094^{\circ}$ latitude (laser).
The measurement direction heading is $48.51^{\circ}$
$R=V\left[\left(r_{1}{ }^{2} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2}{ }^{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right] /\left[\left(r_{1} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right]$
The calculated difference of curvature drop on WGS84 to the spherical model from Laser to Target 1: +0.251 mm (+0.0099 inches)
The height of Lake ljssel above sea level is 0 meters.

## MEASUREMENT 3

## 8th April 2018 at 1:00 AM



## Lake ljssel water temperature chart, April 2018

## Temperatuur Oppervlaktewater ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
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## REFRACTION CALCULATION OF 3RD MEASUREMENT

 the air was 10.8 Celsius ( 46.4 F) above the lake. The ambient conditions showed the refractive indexes were about the same at the two sides of the lake. The difference in temperature was marginal. The level of humidity decreases as you rise in altitude above lake surface.
## Angle of incidence ( $\theta 1$ ): $0.0047^{\circ}$ <br> Refractive index calculation <br> (based on Modified Edlén Equation) <br> $\mathrm{n} 1=1.000284523\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 10.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 76 \%\right)$ <br> $\mathrm{n} 2=1.000287811\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 7.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%\right)$ <br> Angle of refraction is calculated with Snell's law: $\sin \theta 2=(n 1 * \sin \theta 1) / n 2=0.004699985$ degrees <br> Angle of deviation $=0.000000015^{\circ}$ <br> We concluded the ambient conditions refracted the laser beam downward by maximum of <br> 0.329 mm (0.01295 inches)

Enkhuizen (laser position):
Latitude $=52.7100944444445^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=52^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 36.34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$
Longitude $=5.29597222222222^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} 45.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$
POSITIONS AND
HEIGHT DATA AT
TARGET 1
POSITION
GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters ( 0 feet)
Geoid height $=42.409$ meters ( 139.137 feet)
Target 1 (measurement position):
Latitude $=52.8362027777778^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=52^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 10.33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$
Longitude $=5.53254166666667^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 57.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$
GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters ( 0 feet)
Geoid height $=42.176$ meters ( 138.373 feet)
Difference of geoid height: +233 mm (9.17 inches)


## Measurement 3

Target hidden height spherical model: 18.18 meters ( 59.6449 feet)

WGS84 laser to target correction:
+0.18 mm (0.007 inches)
MSL correction is $\mathbf{O m m}$
Refraction correction (max)
-0.329 mm (-0.01295 inches)
EXPECTED target hidden height
18.17985 meters ( 59.64445 feet)

## Difference of geoid height:

+233 mm (+9.17 inches)


MEASUREMENT 4 LAKE IJSSEL TARGET 2

On the 8th of April at 3:00 AM, the blue laser pointer was placed on the SALAD at 2.85 meters ( 9.35 feet) above the lake surface. It was seen on the opposite shore at 28.68 km ( 17.82 miles) to Target 2 at 0.85 meters ( 2.79 feet) above the lake surface.

## MEASUREMENT 4

Lake ljssel 8th April 2018 at 3:00 AM


## MEASUREMENT 4

Lake ljssel 8th April 2018 at 3:00 AM


Target 2 observation location

## MEASUREMENT 4



## LASER BEAM HIDDEN HEIGHT CALCULATION MEASUREMENT 4 TARGET 2

The 28.68 km ( 17.82 miles) distance calculations based on a spherical model results in a target hidden height of the Measurement 4 Target 2 position of 40.21 meters ( 131.9 feet).


## CURVATURE CORRECTION WGS84 AND

The radius of Earth is 6364.589 km ( 3954.772 miles) at the $52.860294^{\circ}$ latitude (Target 2) on the WGS84 ellipsoid model, and 6364.643 km ( 3954.806 miles) at the $52.710094^{\circ}$ latitude (laser).
The measurement direction heading is $54.15^{\circ}$
$R=V\left[\left(r_{1}{ }^{2} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2}{ }^{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right] /\left[\left(r_{1} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right]$
The calculated difference of curvature drop on WGS84 to the spherical model from Laser to Target 2: + 0.458 mm (+0.018 inches)
The height of Lake ljssel above sea level is 0 meters.

## MEASUREMENT 4



> |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Angle of incidence ( } \theta 1): 0.0040^{\circ} \\ \text { Refractive index calculation } \\ \text { (based on Modified Edlén Equation) } \\ \mathrm{n} 1=1.000283508\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 11.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 74 \%\right) \\ \mathrm{n} 2=1.000287811\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 7.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%\right)\end{array}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| CALCULATION | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Angle of refraction is calculated with Snell's law: } \\ \text { sin } \theta 2=\left(\mathrm{n} 1^{*} \sin \theta 1\right) / \mathrm{n} 2=0.003999983 \text { degrees } \\ \text { Angle of deviation }=0.000000017^{\circ} \\ \text { We concluded the ambient conditions refracted the } \\ \text { laser beam downward by maximum of } \\ \mathbf{0 . 4 9 4} \mathbf{~ m m ~ ( 0 . 0 1 9 4 ~ i n c h e s ) ~}\end{array}$ |

Enkhuizen (laser position):
Latitude $=52.7100944444445^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=52^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 36.34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$
 Longitude $=5.29597222222222^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 45.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters
Geoid height $=42.409$ meters ( 139.137 feet)
Target 2 (measurement position): Latitude $=52.8602638888889^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=52^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 36.95^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ Longitude $=5.64140555555556^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 29.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters
Geoid height $=42.103$ meters ( 138.13 feet)
Difference of geoid height: +306 mm (12.05 inches)


## Measurement 4

Target hidden height spherical model:
40.21 meters ( 131.921 feet)

WGS84 laser to target correction:
+0.439 mm (0.0173 inches)
MSL correction is 0 mm
Refraction correction (max)
-0.494 mm (-0.0194 inches)
EXPECTED target hidden height
40.20995 meter (131.9208 feet)

Difference of geoid height:
+306 mm (+12.05 inches)



MEASUREMENT 5 TARGET 3

On the 22nd of April at 0:00 AM, the blue laser pointer was placed on the SALAD at 2.92 meters ( 9.58 feet) above the lake surface. It was seen on the opposite shore at 18.73 km ( 11.64 miles) to Target 3 at 1.6 meters ( 5.25 feet) above the lake surface.

## MEASUREMENT 5

Lake ljssel 22nd April 2018 0:00 AM


Temperature \& humidity
At water level
$11.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(52.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$
89\%

At 2 meters above (6.56 feet) $13.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(56^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$
77\%
Enkhuizen
Laser location

## MEASUREMENT 5

Lake ljssel 22nd April 2018 at 0:00 AM


Target 3 observation location
$\bullet$

The calculations based on a spherical model

## LASER BEAM HIDDEN HEIGHT CALCULATION MEASUREMENT 5 TARGET 3

 results in a target hidden height of the Measurement 5 Target 3 position of 12.504 meters (41.024 feet)

The radius of Earth is 6364.585 km ( 3954.770 miles) at the $52.872386^{\circ}$ latitude (Target 3) on the WGS84 ellipsoid model, and 6364.643 km ( 3954.806 miles) at the $52.710094^{\circ}$ latitude (laser).
The measurement direction heading is $15.25^{\circ}$
$R=V\left[\left(r_{1}{ }^{2} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2}{ }^{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right] /\left[\left(r_{1} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right]$
WGS84 and MSL
The calculated difference of curvature drop on WGS84 to the spherical model from Laser to Target 3: +0.237 mm (+0.0093 inches)
The height of Lake ljssel above sea level is 0 meters.

| Input | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vacuum Wavelength: | 445 Nanometers [nm] |
| Air Temperature: | 9.8 Degrees Celsius |
| Atmospheric Pressure: | 1.0198 Bars |
| Air Humidity: | 71 Relative Humidity, Percent |
| Output |  |
| Wavelength in Ambient Air: | 444.872132 Nanometers [nm] |
| Refractive Index of Air ${ }^{1}:$ | 1.000287426 |
| Uncertainty of Calculated Index ${ }^{2}:$ | 0.000000032 |

MEASUREMENT 5

## Lake ljssel 22nd April 2018 at 0:00 AM

| Input | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vacuum Wavelength: | 445 Nanometers [nm] |
| Air Temperature: | 9.7 Degrees Celsius |
| Atmospheric Pressure: | 1.02 Bars |
| Air Humidity: | 72 Relative Humidity, Percent |
| Output | Result |
| Wavelength in Ambient Air: | 444.872063 Nanometers [nm] |
| Refractive Index of Air¹: | 1.000287582 |
| Uncertainty of Calculated Index²: | 0.000000032 |

The historical weather report is limited to the last 2 weeks for evaluation. For unlimited access starting in 1985 this location must be activated with history土.


2018-04-22 to 2018-04-22
P history+ locations (0/0)v

The historical weather report is limited to the last 2 weeks for evaluation. For unlimited access starting in 1985 this location must be activated with history=.


## Angle of incidence ( $\theta 1$ ): $0.0040^{\circ}$ <br> Refractive index calculation <br> (based on Modified Edlén Equation) <br> $\mathrm{n} 1=1.000287426\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 9.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.0198\right.$ Bars, $71 \%$ ) <br> $\mathrm{n} 2=1.000287582\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 9.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.0200 \mathrm{Bars}, 72 \%\right)$ <br> Angle of refraction is calculated with Snell's law: $\sin \theta 2=(n 1 * \sin \theta 1) / n 2=0.003999999$ degrees Angle of deviation $=0.000000001^{\circ}$ <br> We concluded the ambient conditions refracted the laser beam downward by a maximum of 0.0117 mm (0.00046 inches)

Enkhuizen (laser position):
Latitude $=52.7100944444445^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=52^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 36.34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$
 Longitude $=5.29597222222222^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 45.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters
Geoid height $=42.409$ meters ( 139.14 feet)
Target 3 (measurement position): Latitude $=52.8724444444444^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=52^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 20.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ Longitude $=5.36933055555556^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 9.59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters
Geoid height $=42.149$ meters ( 138.28 feet)
Difference geoid height: $\mathbf{2 6 0 ~ m m ~ ( 1 0 . 2 4 ~ i n c h e s ) ~}$


## Measurement 5

Target hidden height spherical model:
12.504 meters (41.0231 feet)

WGS84 laser to target correction:
+0.16 mm (0.0063 inches)
MSL correction is $\mathbf{O m m}$
Refraction correction (max)
-0.0117 mm (-0.00046 inch)
EXPECTED target hidden height
12.50415 meters ( 41.0236 feet)

Difference of geoid height:
+260 mm (+12.05 inches)

TARGET 4 POSITION
Lake ljssel (Measurement 6)

## MEASUREMENT 6

On the 22nd of April at 2:19 AM, the blue laser pointer was placed on the SALAD at 2.92 meters ( 9.58 feet) above the lake surface heading to $3.66^{\circ}$.

It was seen on the opposite shore at 40.14 km ( 24.94 miles) to Target 4 at 1.5 meters ( 4.92 feet) above the lake surface.

## MEASUREMENT 6

Lake ljssel 22nd April 2018 at 2:19 AM


Laser location

## MEASUREMENT 6

Lake ljssel 22nd April 2018 at 2:19 AM

Target 4 observation location
Target 4 observation location

## MEASUREMENT 6

Lake ljssel 22nd April 2018 at 2:19 AM


## LASER BEAM HIDDEN HEIGHT CALCULATION MEASUREMENT

The calculations based on a spherical model results in a target hidden height of the
Measurement 6 Target 4 position of $\mathbf{9 0 . 8 1}$ meters (297.33 feet)


## CURVATURE CORRECTION WGS84 AND

The radius of Earth is 6364.514 km ( 3954.726 miles) at the $53.070055^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude (Target 4) on the WGS84 ellipsoid model, and 6364.643 km ( 3954.806 miles) at the $52.710094^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude (laser).
The measurement direction heading is $3.66^{\circ}$
$R=v\left[\left(r_{1}{ }^{2} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2}{ }^{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right] /\left[\left(r_{1} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right]$
The calculated difference of curvature drop on WGS84 to the spherical model from Laser to Target 4: +2.56 mm (+0.101 inches)
The height of Lake ljssel above sea level is 0 meters.

Input
Vacuum Wavelength:
Air Temperature:
Atmospheric Pressure:
Air Humidity:

Amount
445 Nanometers [nm]
9 Degrees Celsius
1.0186 Bars

79 Relative Humidity, Percent

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Output | Result |
| Wavelength in Ambient Air: | 444.871927 Nanometers [nm] |
| Refractive Index of Air ${ }^{1}:$ | 1.000287887 |
| Uncertainty of Calculated Index ${ }^{2}:$ | 0.000000032 |

Uncertainty of Calculated Index ${ }^{2}$ : 0.000000032

## MEASUREMENT 6

Lake ljssel 22nd April 2018 at 2:19 AM

| Input | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vacuum Wavelength: | 445 Nanometers [nm] |
| Air Temperature: | 8.3 Degrees Celsius |
| Atmospheric Pressure: | 1.0189 Bars |
| Air Humidity: | 81 Relative Humidity, Percent |
|  |  |
| Output | Result |
| Wavelength in Ambient Air: | 444.871567 Nanometers [nm] |
| Refractive Index of Air¹: | 1.000288697 |
| Uncertainty of Calculated Index ${ }^{2}:$ | 0.000000032 |


| - 2018-04-22 to 2018-04-22 | Q history+ locations (0/0) | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

The historical weather report is limited to the last 2 weeks for evaluation. For unlimited access starting in 1985 this location must be activated with history土.

The historical weather report is limited to the last 2 weeks for evaluation. For unlimited access starting in 1985 this location must be activated with history + .


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Angle of incidence }(\theta 1): 0.0020^{\circ} \\
& \text { Refractive index calculation } \\
& \text { (based on Modified Edlén Equation) } \\
& \mathrm{n} 1=1.000287887\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.0186 \mathrm{Bars}, 79 \%\right) \\
& \mathrm{n} 2=1.000288697\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 8.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.0189 \mathrm{Bars}, 81 \%\right) \\
& \text { Angle of refraction is calculated with Snell's law: } \sin \\
& \theta 2=\left(\mathrm{n} 1^{*} \sin \theta 1\right) / \mathrm{n} 2=0.001999998 \text { degrees } \\
& \text { Angle of deviation }=0.000000002^{\circ} \\
& \text { We concluded the ambient conditions refracted the } \\
& \text { laser beam downward by a maximum of } \\
& 0.064 \mathrm{~mm}(0.0025 \text { inches) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Enkhuizen (laser position):
Latitude $=52.7100944444445^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=52^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 36.34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$
 Longitude $=5.29597222222222^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 45.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters
Geoid height $=42.409$ meters ( 139.135 feet)
Target 4 (measurement position):
Latitude $=53.0700555555556^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=53^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 12.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ Longitude $=5.33469166666667^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 4.89^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters
Geoid height $=41.847$ meters ( 137.292 feet)
Difference geoid height: 562 mm (22.126 inches)


## Measurement 6

Target hidden height spherical model: 90.81 meters ( 297.92945 feet)

WGS84 laser to target correction:
+2.17 mm (0.086 inch)
MSL correction is 0 mm
Refraction correction (max)
-0.065 mm (-0.00257 inches)
EXPECTED target hidden height 90.81211 meters ( 297.93637 feet)

Difference of geoid height:
+562 mm (+22.126 inches)

TARGET 4 POSITION
Lake ljssel (Measurement 7)

## MEASUREMENT 7 <br> On the 22nd of April at 23:20, the blue laser pointer was placed on the SALAD at 2.92 meters ( 9.58 feet) above the lake surface heading to $3.66^{\circ}$. <br> It was seen on the opposite shore at 40.14 km ( 24.94 miles) to Target 4 at 1.5 meters (4.92 feet) above the lake surface.

## MEASUREMENT 7

Lake ljssel 22nd April 2018 at 23:20 PM


## MEASUREMENT 7

Lake ljssel 22nd April 2018 at 23:20 PM

Target 4 observation location

## LASER BEAM HIDDEN HEIGHT CALCULATION MEASUREMENT TARGET 4

The calculations based on a spherical model results in a target hidden height of the
Measurement 7 Target 4 position of $\mathbf{9 0 . 8 1}$ meters (297.33 feet)


The radius of Earth is 6364.514 km ( 3954.726 miles) at the $53.070055^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude (Target 4) on the WGS84 ellipsoid model, and 6364.643 km ( 3954.806 miles) at the $52.710094^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude (laser).
The measurement direction heading is $3.66^{\circ}$
$R=V\left[\left(r_{1}{ }^{2} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2}{ }^{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right] /\left[\left(r_{1} * \cos (B)\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2} * \sin (B)\right)^{2}\right]$
WGS84 and MSL
The calculated difference of curvature drop on WGS84 to the spherical model from Laser to Target 4: +2.56 mm (+0.101 inches)
The height of Lake ljssel above sea level is 0 meters.


| Input | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vacuum Wavelength: | 445 Nanometers [nm] |
| Air Temperature: | 11.5 Degrees Celsius |
| Atmospheric Pressure: | 1.0079 Bars |
| Air Humidity: | 69 Relative Humidity, Percent |
|  |  |
| Output | Result |
| Wavelength in Ambient Air: | 444.874395 Nanometers [nm] |
| Refractive Index of Air ${ }^{1}:$ | 1.000282338 |
| Uncertainty of Calculated Index²: | 0.000000031 |

The historical weather report is limited to the last 2 weeks for evaluation. For unlimited access starting in 1985 this location must be activated with history.

— Temperature [2m] - Relative Humidity [2m] - Mean Sea Level Pressure - Total Precipitation — Wind Speed [10m]
meteoblue $\equiv$

2018-04-22 to 2018-04-22
The historical weather report is limited to the last 2 weeks for evaluation. For unlimited access starting in 1985 this location must be activated with history $\pm$.


## Angle of incidence ( $\theta 1$ ): $0.0020^{\circ}$ <br> Refractive index calculation <br> (based on Modified Edlén Equation) <br> $\mathrm{n} 1=1.000280717\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 13.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.0086 \mathrm{Bars}, 68 \%\right)$ <br> $\mathrm{n} 2=1.000282338\left(445 \mathrm{~nm}, 11.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.0079 B a r s, 69 \%\right)$ <br> Angle of refraction is calculated with Snell's law: $\sin$ $\theta 2=(n 1 * \sin \theta 1) / n 2=0.001999997$ degrees Angle of deviation $=0.000000003^{\circ}$ <br> We concluded the ambient conditions refracted the laser beam downward by a maximum of 0.131 mm ( 0.00512 inches)

Enkhuizen (laser position):
Latitude $=52.7100944444445^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=52^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 36.34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$
 Longitude $=5.29597222222222^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 45.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters
Geoid height $=42.409$ meters ( 139.135 feet)
Target 4 (measurement position):
Latitude $=53.0700555555556^{\circ} \mathrm{N}=53^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 12.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ Longitude $=5.33469166666667^{\circ} \mathrm{E}=5^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 4.89^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ GPS ellipsoidal height $=0$ meters
Geoid height $=41.847$ meters ( 137.292 feet)
Difference geoid height: 562 mm (22.126 inches)


## Measurement 7

Target hidden height spherical model: 90.81 meters ( 297.92945 feet)

WGS84 laser to target correction:
+2.17 mm (0.086 inches)
MSL correction is 0 mm
Refraction correction (max)
-0.133 mm (-0.00525 inches)
EXPECTED target hidden height 90.81204 meters (297.93614 feet)

Difference of geoid height:
+562 mm (+22.126 inches)

Experiment Results of the seven tests indicates there is no curvature on the lake surfaces.

The testing results agree with the analysis of error sources. Feasibility of optimizing optical
EXPERIMENT RESULTS configuration was verified.

In summary, the testing results of the lake surface were $\pm 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$, relative accuracy was within $1 \%$ (confidence level $98 \%$ ).
Our experiment results verify the possibility of a point-to-point long-range wireless communication system.

The TLT measurements meet the accuracy of the experiment design requirements and provided a definite deliverable output:
The surface of Lake Balaton and Lake ljssel is not convex and curvature is not detectable.
Through analyzing the error-source models of TLT measurements and corrections for the geoid surfaces, we determined the results of the experiments definitively prove our hypothesis of non-convex water surfaces.

As all large bodies of water should follow the geopotential surface, we proved these lakes are NON-UNIFORM with the WGS84 model.

This has a very important impact on the WGS84 model it self:
The WGS84 MODEL IS INCORRECT as these large scale height differences are not detectable! The geoid surface heights do NOT conform with the land measurements.

Water surfaces are uniform over the whole area without bending points as they are gravipotential surfaces. Therefore, a measured section is representative for the entire surface area.

Lake Balaton has a total stretch of 77 kilometers that is supposed to have a curvature of 465 meters. Lake ljssel has a total stretch of 70 kilometers that is supposed to have a curvature of 385 meters.

In the case of a local gravitational anomaly, the geoid surface should indicate that difference in the WGS84 as geoid undulation in height differences.


## Where is the missing curvature height difference?

[1] A. Zlinszky1,2, G. Timár3 , R. Weber1 , B. Székely3,4, C. Briese1,5, C. Ressl1, and N. Pfeifer1 (2014) Observation of a local gravity potential isosurface by airborne lidar of Lake Balaton, Hungary.
https://publik.tuwien.ac.at/files/PubDat 228814.pdf [2] H. Farahani, Hassan \& Slobbe, D \& Klees, Roland \& Seitz, Kurt. (2016). Impact of accounting for coloured noise in radar altimetry data on a regional quasi-geoid model. Journal of Geodesy. 10.1007/s00190-016-0941-6.

## REFERENCES

 https://www.researchgate.net/figure/1-Differences-between-gravimetric-and-geometric-height-anomalies-at-height-markers-in-the fig9 305696052[3] Earth radius by latitude calculator https://rechneronline.de/earth-radius/

## [4] Edlen calculator

https://emtoolbox.nist.gov/Wavelength/Edlen.asp
[5] Snell's law calculator https://calculator.tutorvista.com/snell-s-law-calculator.html
[6] Geoid height calculator http://www.unavco.org/software/geodetic-utilities/geoid-height-calculator/geoid-heightcalculator.html

## REFERENCES

[7] Weather conditions reference www.meteoblue.com
[8] Water temperature reference https://waterinfo.rws.nl
[9] Air temperature reference
https://www.accuweather.com/en/nl/enkhuizen/ 249533/april-weather/249533
[10] Balaton weather reference
https://www.meteoblue.com/hu/id\�\�j\� \%A1r\%C3\%A1s/el\%C5\%91rejelz\%C3\%A9s/archive balatonvil\%C3\%A1gos magyarorsz\%C3\%A1g 30 55519?fcstlength=15\&year=2018\&month=2

TABLE 1 - Metrical
Testing results of the lake curvature measurements

| Measurement \# | $\mathbf{1}$ (Balaton) | $\mathbf{2}$ (Balaton) | $\mathbf{3}$ (ljssel) | $\mathbf{4}$ (ljssel) | $\mathbf{5}$ (ljssel) | $\mathbf{6}$ (ljssel) | $\mathbf{7}$ (ljssel) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPHERICAL Target <br> Hidden Height | 4570 | 3520 | 18180 | 40210 | 12504 | 90810 | 90810 |
| Correction WGS84 <br> Laser to Target | 0.029 | -0.026 | 0.18 | 0.439 | 0.16 | 2.17 | 2.17 |
| MSL | -0.119 | -0.119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refraction | 0 | 0.235 | -0.329 | -0.494 | -0.0117 | -0.064 | -0.131 |
| EXPECTED Target <br> Hidden Height | 4569.91 | 3520.09 | 18179.85 | 40209.95 | 12504.15 | 90812.11 | 90812.04 |
| Variance to <br> Geoid Height | 129 | -129 | 233 | 306 | 260 | 562 | 562 |
| All units in millimeters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 1 - Imperial
Testing results of the lake curvature measurements

| Measurement \# | $\mathbf{1}$ (Balaton) | $\mathbf{2}$ (Balaton) | $\mathbf{3}$ (ljssel) | $\mathbf{4}$ (ljssel) | $\mathbf{5}$ (ljssel) | $\mathbf{6}$ (ljssel) | $\mathbf{7}$ (ljssel) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPHERICAL Target <br> Hidden Height | 179.92 | 138.58 | 715.75 | 1583.07 | 492.28 | 3575.2 | 3575.2 |
| Correction WGS84 <br> Laser to Target | 0.00114 | -0.001 | 0.00709 | 0.01728 | 0.0063 | 0.08543 | 0.08543 |
| MSL | -0.00469 | -0.004469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refraction | 0 | 0.00925 | -0.01295 | -0.01945 | -0.00046 | -0.0025 | -0.00512 |
| EXPECTED Target <br> Hidden Height | 179.918 | 138.586 | 715.742 | 1583.069 | 492.289 | 3575.28 | 3575.277 |
| Variance to <br> Geoid Height | 5.79 | -5.79 | 9.17 | 12.05 | 10.24 | 22.13 | 22.13 |

## TECHNICAL DATA

Several types, power and wavelength lasers were used in the measurements.

1. Precision green laser device
output power 2W
0.08 mRad collimation

555 nm green
2. High power green laser device
output power 5W
555 nm green
3. RGB laser device
output power 9W
450/555/660nm
4. Green laser device
output power 3 W
555 nm green
5. Blue laser pointer
output power 1 to 2 W
445 nm blue mRad

## TECHNICAL DATA

Super Accurate Laser Aiming Device (SALAD)

The SALAD was designed specially for this experiment with an aiming accuracy of 0.000024 degree precision. The projected beam can be moved within an increment of less than a centimeter at a distance of 66 km . The SALAD can be remotely controlled and it is able to store the position parameters of the previously measured positions. Automatic GPS targeting system was recently developed.


## TECHNICAL DATA

Devices used to observe the laser beam:

1. portable canvas with $3 \times 3$ meter surface
2. Nikon P900 camera
3. Canon 5d Mark II EFL 17-40 mm f4.0 Lens, at 40 mm
4. Samsung S8 and Samsung S6 mobile phones
5. GoPro 5


## TEMPERATURE \& HUMIDITY READINGS




## SPECIAL THANKS TO

- Dr. Zack
- Steve Torrence
- Karen Endecott
- William Genske
- Suzy Genske
- Gary Heather
- Robert Scott
- Patrick Admiraal
- Jeroen Jongejans
- Bob Knodel
- Cami Knodel
- Jeran Campanella
- Chris Seely
- Paul On The Plane
- Rick Zimmerman
- Rob Skiba
- David Shepherd
- Rick Hummer
- Taboo "Ben" Conspiracy
- David Weiss
- Richard Aubin
- Daisy van Havermaet
- Chris van Matre
- Richard Blades
- And to BILU \& Big Foot


## SPECIAL THANKS TO OUR FECORE MEMBERS!

Please visit our website to find out more about our projects. We will continue to work on other TLT measurements over sea and land surfaces to prove the real shape of Earth.
Your donations or membership is very much appreciated by our team to continue with the experiments.


THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION! - to be continued! ()

